

## PRIVACY

Judicial review of claims for exemption asserted by respondent on nearly 900 pages of information in response to request made under *Privacy Act*, R.S.C., 1985, c. P-21—Applicant employed by Export Development Corporation (EDC) from 1979 to 1982—Seeking reemployment with EDC in 1989 but facing repeated rejections of applications—Filing request with EDC under Act, s. 12(1) for disclosure of personal information—Applicant refused access to all, part of documents on basis not personal information or containing information subject to solicitor-client privilege—Delay alone not preventing respondent from asserting exemption—Litigation privilege, solicitor-client privilege distinguished—Exemptions claimed mainly relating to solicitor-client privilege—Document otherwise subject to disclosure not to be withheld merely because attached, enclosed with exempted document—Purposes of *Privacy Act*, s. 2 supporting applicant's position redactions should be reasonably limited when claim of solicitor-client privilege made—EDC improperly claiming exemption to disclosure over many documents—Information contained in documents to be disclosed with only a few exceptions—Application allowed in part.

MURCHISON V. CANADA (EXPORT DEVELOPMENT) (T-1291-07, 2009 FC 77, Zinn J., order dated January 26, 2009, 50 pp.)